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Abstract

In this study, discussion which is an offshoot of the statement of the objectives, review of empirical studies and the theoretical framework will essentially focus on the strategies to achieve the stated objectives. According to the advocates of Business Process Reengineering (BPR), the ultimate solution for increasing productivity and quality while cutting costs at the same time, putting the customer first has finally been found. Recommendations were proffered for Case-teams to comprise of both managers as well as those who actually do the work and BPR to be ‘owned’ by the organization and not driven by outside consultants, among others.
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1. Background of the Study

In today’s highly competitive and constantly changing market place, in order to thrive and operate successfully, it is inevitable for companies to give up obsolete ways of doing business and adjust to changes in their environment. In the world of competition, ‘change’ is the key word. In organizations where changes are going on at alarming speed, it is needed to switch over from rigid, heretical business style to quick, responding and flexible process. Business Process Reengineering (BPR) is the fundamental rethinking and radical redesign of business processes to achieve dramatic improvement in critical contemporary measures of performance such as cost, service, quality and speed, (Hammer 1993). BPR is boon to those organizations where the business is young and slow. BPR is required to take quantum leaps in productivity for competitive advantage – (Ringim, Hasnan, and Razalli 2010). Ringim et al (2011) citing (Goll & Cordovano 1993) BPR is a major management approach that can focus on doing things in a better way that is clearer and easier to achieve a radical improvement on quality, speed, customer service, and reduction in cost. They said “one of the primary goals of financial service industry is to always enhance processes that would improve customer service performance through the management approach of cost reduction, improve quality, speed, and customer service for profit maximization. Therefore management scholars argued that organization can become proactive in operation by adopting the business process reengineering (BPR) to achieve remarkable improvement in the organizational performance (Hammer 1990; Davenport & Short 1990).”

The process of merger and acquisition in Nigerian financial institutions has resulted in these companies undergoing a radical improvement in their operations, Ringim et al.

Between 1990 –1993, some definitive works on BPR were put forth by Hammer, Champy and Davenport. Hammer was named by business week journal (July 1994) as one of the four prominent management gurus of the 1990s, together with Champy. They gathered information about organizations thriving in their respective industries, along with assorted management
consulting experiences. They were asking the questions of, ‘what worked and why?’ along with ‘what didn’t work and why not?’ They discovered that most of the companies that had succeeded in changing their processes had used a similar set of tools and tactics. They called this set of procedures Business Reengineering.

In organizations, the competitive field has been reshaped greatly during the past years; the globalization of markets, new customer requirements for product and service quality and the rapid development of information technology (IT), require new strategies for successful enterprising, as well as new methodologies and tools for system analysis and design in dynamic environments. Majority of leading organizations have therefore launched large-scale efforts to deliver greater customer value by ‘redesigning’ their businesses, ‘customizing’ their business processes and using IT as an enabler for gaining competitive advantage. Beyond that, all changes have to be performed in respect to the aspects of Total Quality. In their striving for competitive advantage, reduced costs and increased profitability, more and more companies are embracing this trend.

1.1 Statement of the Problem

The steady globalization of financial markets necessitated market participants to make changes on their operational processes beyond local competition to global competitiveness. Ringim, Razalli & Hasnan 2013 in quoting (Randle 1995) states that this trend has led many banks in the developing countries (including Nigeria) to improve customer service quality, speed, reduce operating cost and enhance profitability performance. Innovative banking service and personalized banking portfolio management are developing gradually as the markets consolidate due to mergers and acquisitions of banks and up-to-date strategy. The problem is that the focus is no longer on cutting costs alone, but rather at the same time improving services to customers. Another issue is that the processes are not only must-be-more-efficient, but also must be made more customer friendly too; while communicating the necessity for change with employees.

1.2 Objectives of the Study

The general objective of this study is to analyze BPR in organizational performance in the Nigerian banking sector. The specific objectives are as follows:

1. To examine the factors of BPR that may influence the bank performance.
2. To explore the implementation level of BPR in Nigerian Financial Institutions.
3. To uncover how BPR can help organizations to effect innovative and strategic changes in the organizations.
4. To determine ways to communicate the necessity for change with employees.

1.3 Significance of the Study

This study is very significant because the business circle is filled with business entities that have undergone dramatic changes in recent times. These changes are to a large extent caused by market forces of demand and supply, competition, globalization and information technology leading to transformation in business, customers needs, choices, awareness, preference are also affected. Therefore, this study will be helpful to organizations seeking to improve their organizational operational performance.

2. Review of Related Literature

2.1 Conceptual Clarification

The literature sources dated back to 1990s to accommodate the "fathers of BPR", the authors who
promoted BPR awareness in the past. Their contributions are fundamental and will help us have better understanding.

The oldest process began with Fredrick Winslow Taylor in 1911 when he published his book, scientific management. He proposed that managers should systematically examine activities and determine the most efficient way of executing them. He launched an engineering tradition that proceeded to measure and analyze activities in an effort to optimize the performance of the activities. He emphasized the importance of careful analysis, measurement and incremental improvement in the performance of specific activities. These are bottom-up approaches that seek to find ways workers can constantly improve how they perform their jobs – work simplification movement. About the same time that Taylor was studying individual workers and trying to determine how to improve their performance Henry Ford was into management processes – launching his third car company - Ford Motor Company. He completely re-conceptualized the auto manufacturers’ process. He designed a car that was simple to manufacture and then set up a production line where each worker had a specific task to perform. In essence, starting with an initial frame, a car was assembled as the frame moved down a production line, each worker adding his part to create the final product. Ford's approach revolutionized manufacturing. He was able to drastically reduce the cost of manufacturing a car, increase production capacity, and still make enough profit that he could pay his workers enough so that they could buy a car of their own. Ford’s approach was just the opposite of Taylor’s. Taylor assumed the function needed to be done, studied the worker, and determine the best way to perform the function. Ford started with a blank sheet of paper and redesigned the entire process, starting with the creation of a product that could be manufactured on an assembly line.

As organizations grew, more people were added and procedures were quick fixed, while the organization of work still followed the original logic. According to (Guha, Kettinger and Teng 1993), the localized, incremental approach has created extremely complex processes that contribute little to the overall effectiveness of organizations operating in today's business environment. Due to the global changes in economy, markets are globalized, customer requirements changed and competition is intensified, and new approaches had to be developed for coping with environmental dynamics and the required flexible organizational change, (Kohlbacher 2009).

The concept of reengineering traces its roots back to management theories developed in the early 19th century. The purpose of reengineering is to "make all processes the best-in class". Fredrick Taylor suggested it as far back as the 1860's (Sturdy, 2010). But the idea of re-engineering was first propounded in an article in Harvard Business Review by Hammer (1990). This method was popularly referred to as business process re-engineering (BPR), and based on an examination of the way information technology was affecting business processes. Michael Hammer and James Champy the BPR originators maintained that re-engineering had a wider significance than mere processes. It applied to all parts of an organization and it had a lofty purpose (Hindle, 2008).

Hammer in 1991 emphasized the need for fundamental organizational change and for the first time using the term Business Process Reengineering. The concept which has widely spread and applied is viewed by Hammer 1993) as the fundamental rethinking and radical redesign of business processes to achieve dramatic improvements in critical, contemporary measures of performance, such as cost, quality, service and speed. BPR focuses on redesigning work processes to enhance productivity and competitiveness. The demand for a new approach to organization restructuring has been occasioned by the awareness that many of the existing business logic is built on premises of considerable age. These existing processes were first designed as a set of sequential manual procedures, and then automated parallel with the accelerating development of technology. However, this automation did not change the strong efficiency orientation pushing for optimizing functions and a maximum level of control; neither did it address the organizational externalities, such as customer demands.

BPR is an approach aiming at improvements by means of elevating efficiency and
effectiveness of the processes that exist within and across organizations. The key to BPR is for organizations to look at their business processes from a ‘clean slate’ perspective and determine how they conduct business. BPR has gained a considerable attention in the world of change management during the past years.

A key stimulus for reengineering has been the continuing development and deployment of sophisticated information systems and networks – (Ryan, Stephen and Lee 2009).

Business Process Reengineering can be considered as being a combined application of theories and concepts from mainly three areas –

- Organization theory, including the aspects of, human resource management, and organizational strategies.
- Marketing, in the aspect of competitive advantage, customer focus, industry value systems.
- Informatics, the use of IT for supporting process-based organizations by using appropriate information systems.

Adding value for customers is a Business Process Redesigning methodology, considered as being most of the important contingencies. The concept of value adding was originally developed by (Porter 1984) as stated in (Simon 1994), in his book ‘Competitive Advantage’ . He stated that every firm is a collection of activities that are performed to design, produce, and market, deliver, and support its product. All these activities can be represented using a value chain. Value understood in the context of the business unit is Organizations’ activities, for a linear flow from the supplier(s), through the business, to the customer(s). The primary activities the organization has to perform in order to justify its right to exist are adding direct customer value to the product or service and the effective link of these activities has a major impact on the overall performances of the organization. While the secondary activities are supporting the former, in order to ensure organizational and managerial control, coordination among primary activities are supporting the former, in order to ensure organizational and managerial control, coordination among primary activities, as well as for developing and maintaining a corporate culture within the organization, and a corporate image towards the environment. Information and communication Technology has, however currently given impetus to the success of the strategies of BPR.

2.2 Business Process

Mlay, Zlotnikova and Watundu (2013) citing Ross and Moore (2006) stated that “Business Process is a set of logically related tasks performed to achieve a defined business outcome”. A Business Process is designed to add value for the customers and therefore should not include unnecessary activities. It has a goal, specific inputs and outputs, uses the resources, has a number of activities that are performed in some order, may affect more than one organizational unit and creates value for the customer (Meyer et al. as cited in Muthu, Whitman and Cheraghi, 1999 and now cited by Mlay, Zlotnikova and Watundu (2013).

2.3 Business Process Reengineering

Business Process Reengineering (BPR) is the analysis and redesign of workflow within and between enterprises according to (Hammar and Champy 1990 as cited by Mlay, Zlotnikova and Watundu 2013). BPR can also be defined as a total transformation of a business, an unconstrained reshaping of all business processes, technologies and management systems, as well as organizational structure and values, to achieve quantum jumps in performance throughout the business. Mlay, Zlotnikova and Watundu 2013 citing (Crowe, Fong and Zayas-Castro, 2002). Stoica, Chawat and Shin (2004) stressed that BPR is the evaluation and amendment of strategy, process, technology, organization, and culture. This radical process includes plummeting organizational goals that are no longer valid.
The use of information technology for gaining competitive advantage and supporting business activities in a dynamic environment has been emphasized extensively during the past years by some authors like Ciborra 1992; Harrington 1991; Davidow 1992; Clegg 1990; and Keen 1991. They all point out the importance of modern information technology. Information Technology (IT) is becoming the most important driver of the global economy. The competitiveness of a country’s economy (Nigeria) and well being is getting closely aligned to its ability to innovate and participate in the IT industry. The information revolution is enabling the emergence of a new type of trade system on electronic network.

People can exchange goods without having to exchange money. This has led to the emergence of virtual markets in the form of Electronic business which replaced going to shops. Same is the case with electronic banking system. The impact of IT on economy has accelerated with the development of satellite communication, increasing powerful and smaller memory chips and developments in software programming. This has had a fundamental impact on organizational structures and human resource management. Intermediate middle management levels have been thinned down and intellectual capital has become a key resource. Industrialized and developing countries alike are formulating policies and programs to accelerate IT development and diffusion. IT is increasingly regarded as a ‘key’ technology. It is seen as generic, strategic, critical, core, enabling as well as pervasive. In Nigeria, it has been established that IT potential to enhance competitiveness of key businesses, modernize basic infrastructure and services, alleviate information poverty and reduce transaction costs. IT is used in areas like macro-economic planning and decision making, public administration, education, health-care, manufacturing, finance and banking, commerce, transportation etc. The journey into the information age is for improvement in the quality of every aspect of human life, emergence of a competitive society and a vibrant economy of new age technologies through transparent governing systems, sound infrastructure and skilled human resources.

Most BPR theorists and practitioners consider IT as being the essential enabler for any reengineering effort, even if there is a minority claiming, that reengineering can be done without engaging IT. However, it can be stated that IT plays a major role in the majority of BPR projects. Yates (1989) observed as stated in Simon (1994), when looking on the impact of IT development on organizational change that the period from implementation to change can vary significantly in time. Beyond that delay in time, the performed change was often of rather incremental nature.

It may be concluded, that IT is only one of an assembly of change enablers, and if used inappropriately, it might even be a disabling factor. Especially, when information logical constraints are disregarded, the systems workability is suffering, or wrong technological platforms are used.

2.5 Empirical Review

Global competition has brought change. Because of the competitive economy, organizations today seek to rationalize, innovate and adapt to changing environments and circumstances as part of business process reengineering (BPR) efforts. Irrespective of the process reengineering program selected and the techniques used to model it, BPR initiatives involve risk taking. Effective management of risks and their prediction and estimation should help in minimizing failures from BPR efforts.

Sidikat and Ayanda (2008), carried out a study on Impact Assessment of Business Process Reengineering on Organizational Performance in First Bank Nigeria. They used the case study method and data was analyzed through simple percentage and regression analysis. The results revealed that business process reengineering (BPR), service quality (SQ), and innovative & strategic change (I & SC) are positively (Directly) related to the success of organization. Business Reengineering Process will only be successful if the activities in which the processes are based are
directly related to the needs and objectives of the business. The impact of Business Reengineering Process to the Nigerian organizations cannot be over-emphasized with the economic situation of this country, because it helps in meeting the domestic and industry needs or pursuance of better and high performance. Business Reengineering Process has helped in the achievement of the organization over-all objectives. It concludes that BPR has become useful weapon for any corporate organizations that is seeking for improvement in their current organizational performance and intends to achieve cost leadership strategy in its operating industry and environment. It recommended that reengineering process remains effective tools for organizations striving to operate as effectively and efficiently as possible and organizations are required to reengineer their business processes in order to achieve breakthrough performance and long term strategy for organizational growth and performance.

Aregbeyen (2011), carried out a study on Business Re-Engineering and Organizational Performance in Nigeria: A case study of First Bank Nigeria PLC (FBN). He used the paired data samples method between 1986 and 2008. The study was aimed at evaluating the impact of the re-engineering of operational processes on the performance of the bank. To do this he tested the hypothesis that business re-engineering has no significant effect on the FBN's operational performance. To test this hypothesis, he measured the operational performance of the bank through three major indicators namely growth, profitability and the extent of financial intermediation. Growth of the bank was measured using alternative but complementary measures. These are annual changes in gross earnings, total assets, total deposit mobilized. Profitability was assessed with profit margin, return on assets and return on equity. The extent to financial intermediation by bank was ascertained through the loans and advances to total deposit ratio. The results revealed after the necessary tests that the bank neither grew better during the pre nor post re-engineering period. It can be inferred that the re-engineering project positively improved the profitability of the bank. The re-engineering project made no significant improvement on financial intermediation by the bank. In conclusion, the analysis of the data showed that the re-engineering project significantly improved the profitability performance of the bank but not for growth and the extents of its financial intermediation.

Ringim, Razalli & Hasnan (2011), carried out a study on Effect of Business Process Reengineering Factors on Organizational Performance of Nigerian banks: Information Technology Capability as the Moderating Factor. The survey method was used. A pilot test was conducted to seek the objectives. Instrument content and face validity and reliability were examined through panel of expert in both academic and practice. The data received from the commercial bank departments were analyzed using the SPSS software. The results show that the dimensions of BPR are reliable and valid. In addition BPR implementation was found in various operations processes in Banks. In conclusion, the main purposes of study were in two fold;
• to identify the dimensions of BPR in Nigerian banks and
• to explore the level of BPR implementation among these banks.

The study highlighted that those dimensions include change management, process redesign/innovation, use of information technology (IT), and IT capability. It also provides evidence that the banks in Nigeria have been implementing BPR in various operational processes such as in credit risk, domestic, international, branches and e-banking. They recommended that the findings of this study may be used for further research in BPR in Nigeria banks.

Habib (2013), carried out a study on Understanding Critical Success and Failure Factors of Business Process Reengineering in Pakistan. He used the explorative survey methods to carry out his study. The results revealed that companies are shifting from product centered approach to customer oriented approach. Therefore the priorities are also changing and the companies are trying to satisfy their customers to deliver what they want in terms of values. He concluded that bringing change into an organization is very difficult and very much demanding. Therefore proper planning from top management is very important in accordance with the organizational needs and resources. The supportive role of management is a key to successful implementation. The
involvement of HR in planning and implementation is also essential. He said management and companies should understand that BPR is a customized change tool thus, do not copy what your competitors are doing or else it will result in only failure. He recommended that companies need to identify the tasks that are unnecessary, causing delay and inefficiency, identification of areas and jobs that can be reengineered with the help of developed and up to date technology – THEN SHOOT and you achieve success.

Asgarkhani and Patterson (2012), did a study on Information and Business Process Reengineering through Application of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) in Pattaya (Thailand). They used exploration method. The result revealed that continued innovation in IT will make sure its role in process redesign will not decline and the more that business becomes the focus of process redesign. They concluded that information technology has a huge role in BPR, this is because information technology’s unique attributes cover most PBR heuristics. They recommended that employees in a business should embrace changes and creativity business process reengineering projects to have a high chance of success.

Goksoy, Ozsoy and Vayvay (2012), carried out a study on Business Process Reengineering: Strategic Tool for Managing Organizational Change an Application in a Multinational Company in the USA. The research framework was an attempt to investigate (survey) the effects of BPR in production division in a multinational company. The result revealed that employees think top management commitment and support has been ensured for the implementation of reengineering projects etc. They concluded that in today’s world, one of the most popular change management concepts "Business Process Reengineering" has been examined as a change tool. They recommended that future research can collect information from both employees and management and/or change agents and compare the results to get more accurate information and BPR.

Mlay, Zlotnikova and Watundu (2013) carried out a study on A Quantitative Analysis of Business Process Reengineering and Organizational Resistance: The case of Uganda. The methodology they used was both quantitative and qualitative methods. The qualitative methods were cluster sampling technique for identifying the;
- purposive sampling technique for selecting the respondents within organizations;
- observation for collecting the data.

The study showed that only 30.4% of BPR projects in Uganda have delivered the intended usable Information Systems. They identified the factors impacting on BPR and possible causes of BPR failures. They identified that emotional response of the users towards the BPR implementation ranges from ‘Acceptance’ to ‘Testing’, ‘Indifference’ and ‘Anger’. They concluded that many organizations in Uganda and elsewhere need to reengineer their processes to improve on efficiency. They recommended that organizations intending reengineer processes should put a lot of emphasis on soft issues of the BPR implementation. It is important to establish good and open communication between the implementers and the management (also indicated by Crowe et al (2002); Maull and Tranfield (2003).

2.6 Theoretical Framework

The theory of Henri Fayol which formed the foundation of this work is administrative management theory. The concept of reengineering traces its origins back to management theories developed as early as the nineteenth century. Fayol (1916) published 14 principles of management in a book called "Administration Industrielle et Generale" which explained how managers should organize and interact with staff. These "14 principles" was one of the earliest theories of management to be created and remains one of the most comprehensive. It propagates that; responsibility and authority must come together, there must be order, unity of direction, subordination of individual interest, discipline, initiative, equity and esprit de corps etc are needed for BPR success. It echoes the classical belief that there is one best way to conduct tasks. In Taylor’s time, technology did not allow large companies to design processes in a cross-functional or cross-departmental manner.
Specialization was the state of the art method to improve efficiency given the technology of the time.

In the early 1900’s, Henri Fayol initiated the concept of reengineering to conduct the undertaking toward its objectives by seeking to derive optimum advantage from all available resources. Although the technological resources of our time have changed, the concept still holds. About the same time, another business engineer, Lyndall Urwick stated ‘It is not enough to hold people accountable for certain activities, it is also essential to delegate to them the necessary authority to discharge that responsibility.’ This admonition foreshadows the idea of worker empowerment which is central to reengineering. Organizations that are successful envisioned to be networked across functional boundaries processes rather than functional hierarchies. However, it is pointed out in literature that simply using the latest technology on existing processes, procedures, is no valid solution to the problem. The solutions are found in taking a step further and rethink, and question the business activities being a fundament for business processes. (Ryan, Stephen and Lee, 2009). Effective redesign of business processes by removing unnecessary activities and replacing archaic, functional processes with cross functional activities, in combination with using information technology as an enabler for this type of change will, according to the advocates of BPR lead to significant gains in speed, productivity, service, quality and innovation. Business reengineering normally includes a fundamental analysis of the organization and a redesign of - Organizational structure, Job definitions, Reward structures, and Control processes.

BPR was generally conceived by Simon (1994) as consisting of four elements to be considered as there are strategies, processes, technology and people. Strategies and processes are building the ground for the enabling utilization of technologies and the redesign of the human activity system.

Source: (Simon 1994)

Strategies - The strategy dimension has to do with the strategies within the other areas under concern, which are organization strategy, technology strategy and human resources strategy. The determination of all strategies has to be performed with respect to the dynamic market places the organization is acting on and is not focused on internalities, but the external presumptions for successful acting on markets. Above that, strategies have to be current and relevant to the organization’s vision, as well as to internal and external constraints, which implies, that a reconsideration and redefinition of strategies might be a presumption for further change. The strategies must be defined in a way that enables understanding and motivation of employees in order to align the work force with them.

Processes - Processes can be defined on different levels within the organization. The issue is, to identify core processes which are satisfying customer needs and add value for them. It is important to point out, that processes are not determined by internal organizational requirements, but by customer requirements, even though organizational constraints have to be taken under consideration. The shift from functional departments to inter-functional processes includes a redesign of the entire organizational structure and the human activity system and implies process instead of task optimization.

Technology - Information technology is considered as the major enabler for spanning processes over functional and organizational boundaries and supporting process driven organizations. However, the point is not to use IT as an improver for existing activities, as which it often has been conceived, but as enabler for the new organization. This includes using new
technologies such as groupware, as well as new methods for using them and as acceptance of technological changes and the fact that information technology will be shaping the future.

People - The human activity system within the organization is the most critical factor for reengineering. While top management support for reengineering efforts is rather simple to ensure, the real change agents, middle management are far harder to win due to the fact that they have to identify change opportunities and perform them, while they are the group facing most threats, as BPR often is used for cutting hierarchies and reducing the work force. The other crucial factor is to align the work force with the strategies defined and to address the variables of cultural and environmental contexts within the organization. Finally, flattening hierarchies implies decision making to be moved down in the organization and empowerment of the employees taking them. This requires training and education as well as motivation and trust from top management that people are able and willing to take responsibility - a fact that is rather contradictory to the ‘trust is good, control is better’ way of thinking.

3. Discussion

3.1 BPR critical success and failure factors

Mlay, Zlotnikova and Watundu (2013) citing Yahya (2002) put forward that most BPR endeavors fail because of a misunderstanding of BPR. Most managers rush in for BPR intuitively instead of approaching it as an engineering discipline. The author pointed out the other failure factors, such as

- poor approach of BPR mistaking it for Total Quality Management (TQM)
- unrealistic expectations,
- lack of top management commitment etc.

Crowe et al. (2002) as stated in Mlay, Zlotnikova and Watundu (2013) pointed out that the most outstanding cause of BPR failure is resistance to change. BPR is all about change, and innately human beings resist change (Crowe et al. 2002; Palmer, 2004). Abdolvand et al. (2009) underlined that BPR should be rolled out after the meticulous examination to ensure the positive readiness indicators to curtail failures. Another author listed the BPR failure factors related to change of management systems and culture as follows: problems in communication, organizational resistance, lack for organizational readiness for change, problems related to creating a culture for change, and lack of training and education. The success factors identified by Yahya (2002) as stated in Mlay, Zlotnikova and Watundu (2013) include:

- egalitarian leadership, one based on the principle that all people are equal and deserve equal rights and opportunities (Maul et al. 2003),
- collaborative working environment to build team work and trust
- top management commitment and ability to comprehend BPR projects and their scope (Grant, as cited in Abdolvand, Albadvi and Ferdowsi, 2008),
- change in the management system (Vakola and Rezqui, 2000; Attaran, 2003; Shin and Jemella, 2002; Vidovic and Vuhic, 2003).

3.2 Findings

The findings are

- BPR helps in focusing on end-customer by providing competitive advantages to the organization.
- BPR has become useful weapon for any corporate organizations that is seeking for improvement in their current organizational performance and intends to achieve cost leadership strategy in its operating industry and environment.
- BPR implementation was seen in various operations processes in banks and this means
the factors of BPR are reliable and valid.

3.3 Conclusion

Reengineering focuses on changing existing business practices. Strategic reengineering focuses on designing the organization to compete by undertaking strategic initiatives at the start of the reengineering process. These initiatives seek to provide understanding of the markets, competitors, and the position of the organization within the industry. Critical success factors required to compete are identified and prioritized. Only then is individual business processes addressed. The recipes for facing the challenges put by environmental dynamics are many, and Business Process Reengineering is one of them. However, contrary to many of the current approaches to change which often emphasizes on business or technology or quality, it provides a holistic approach and emphasizes the necessity of combining all the above mentioned factors.

3.4 Recommendations

- BPR must be accompanied by strategic planning, which addresses leveraging IT as a competitive tool.
- Place the customer at the center of the reengineering effort by concentrating on fragmented processes that lead to delays or other negative impacts on customer service.
- Case-teams should comprise of both managers as well as those who actually do the work.
- BPR must be ‘owned’ by the organization and not driven by outside consultants.
- The IT group should be an integral part of the reengineering team from the start.
- BPR must not ignore corporate culture and must emphasize constant communication and feedback.
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