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Abstract

The study aims to investigate the acceptability of e-learning courses for professional qualification offered by the Institute of Bankers Pakistan (IBP). The study is empirical in nature and has utilized data by 172 respondents received through online survey method technique. Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) is used to investigate the acceptance of e-learning. Descriptive statistics, correlation and regression analysis are used to reach the final analysis. Cronbach Alfa is used to measure the reliability of the survey. Research finding indicate promising prospects for introducing e-learning based courses. Learning style and age indicates positive association with Perceived Ease of Use whereas learning style alone is positively correlated with Perceived Usefulness.
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1. Introduction

In the age of globalization, the use of digital technology has become inevitable for sustainable business success. Business industry around the world is creatively capitalizing upon the benefits offered by information and communication technology. So much so, the technology is being used to address soft issues that are related to human resource. E-learning is such an attempt made by organizations, in order to train their employees with the effective use of this technology. However, e-learning is still evolving and many organizations are still finding it difficult to find out the best fit for them. Sloman (2005) emphasized on hype created by e-learning stating “e-learning was aggressively promoted as a way of cutting the costs of training by replacing classroom events with modules delivered to the individual at his or her personal computer”. But now according to Sloman (2005), “we have learned a lot and can now offer a more balanced picture of the place of e-learning based on particular experience”.

The popularity of the technology acceptance research has trigged with the pace of technology has evolved over the period of time. Davis (1989) was the first to lay the corner stone of measuring the Technology Acceptance through
his famous Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). This study attempts to investigate the prospects of introducing e-learning based course for ISQ for the banking sector of Pakistan using TAM model.

ISQ is the most popular professional course for bankers in Pakistan, offered by the Institute of Bankers Pakistan (IBP). The course is a highly prestigious and famous for the bankers as it is recognized by the State Bank of Pakistan and other Financial Institutions. It is the desire of the majority of the bankers to qualify the course as it is directly linked with high promotions, increase salary, professional recognition, and increased chances of employability etc. This is the reason a large number of employee get themselves registered for this course. However, due to the demanding nature of the job and unavailability of qualified trainers may result in making several attempts to clear a course. This may result high failure, increased de-motivation and loss of time and resources. This study aims to investigate the prospects of e-learning based ISQ. We believe that it would help students to learn effectively, increase their professional understanding and their other personal goals.

2. Literature Review

The popularity of the technology acceptance model (TAM) is evident through the massive use by researchers for learning about technology adoption and the use of information systems. (Straub et al, 1997). A meta-analysis study conducted by King and He (2006) provides some promising results of using technology acceptance model (TAM). The study incorporated 88 research articles and reported high credibility of TAM model. King and He (2006) termed TAM as a highly ‘valid and robust model’. Straub et al’s (1997) study raises some concerns over implementation of TAM across different cultures and understanding the adoption phenomena. The study shows TAM validity in Switzerland and United States and not in Japan.

Flett et al (2004) have moved one step ahead and have studied the applicability of TAM in the dairy farming in New Zealand. They found a close relationship between individuals Perceived Usefulness (PU) and Perceived Ease of Use (PEU) and their actual use of technology.

A recent study conducted by Pai & Huangb (2011) proposes a conceptual framework for measuring the behavioral intention of using information system in the health care sector. The findings of the study indicate encouraging results towards the use of the proposed healthcare information system.

2.1 E-learning

Allen (2012) considers e-learning as a continuous process of experimentation and exploration over computing technology. Sloman defines e-learning as a learning that is delivered, enabled or mediated by electronic technology, for the explicit purpose of training in organizations (2005, p. 9). Webster (2005) defines e-learning as any learning that occurs over the computer. Clark & Mayer (2011) believe e-learning an instruction based delivery process on a digital device such as a computer or mobile device that is intended to support learning. No, doubt, e-learning has revolutionized the whole process of leaning, however, its exact name/title remains confusing. Some famous terminologies currently in use are e-learning; online learning; virtual learning; or distance learning (Pailing, 2002, p. 155). In a recent study conducted by ASTD (2010) shows that during 2009 the average percentage of learning per hour was 36.5 percent. The learning that took place online (both self-paced and instructor led) remained the major tool of e-learning with 27.7 percent. The re-usability of the e-learning material during 2009 was 56.3 percent.

Despite effectiveness and opportunities created by e-learning facility threats and dangers to teachers and administrators remains there (Cheol, 2003). It is pivotal for countries like Pakistan, who yet have to explore the avenues of e-learning, to hold a realistic view about e-learning to gain maximum benefit out of this facility. For instance, the initial hype that e-learning will replace classroom based learning was later proved to be wrong, rather research has shown a very little difference between learning outcomes in both ways (Russell, 2005). The flexibility feature of e-learning provides learners a chance to self-paced learning, whereas affordance feature allows learners’ to interact with objects and individuals spaced by time and distance (Ryder & Wilson, 1996; Bell, 2007). Most of the multinational companies that uses e-learning have termed it an easier, highly cost effective, and efficient way of training employees (Bell, 2007). The benefits of e-learning, if capitalized upon properly can be huge. By training and have a full track of the employees progress in compliance-related issues such as disability and diversity discrimination and health and safety etc, would help organizations to remain legally correct.

Lee, Yoon & Lee (2009) believes that e-learning has brought about a significant change from the conventional teacher-lead class room environment. In their view, a comprehensive study of the adoption of e-learning courses by
students is urgently required. Šumak, Heričko & Pušnik (2011) have used meta-analysis technique to understand the existing knowledge on e-learning technology acceptance. Figure 1 indicates the percentage of the usage of theories by the researchers in order to investigate the technology acceptance. Their major findings of the 42 independent studies are given below:

i) Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) is the most widely used technology acceptance theory

ii) The nature of the e-learning technology and the individual's nature severely affect the casual relationship between TAM variables.

Fig. 1: Theories applied in e-learning acceptance studies

Source: Šumak, Heričko & Pušnik (2011)

2.2 History of e-learning in Pakistan:

Allama Iqbal Open University (AIOU) is the pioneer of introducing the concept of distance learning in Pakistan and is actively engaged in this since 1974 (AIOU, 2011). According to Reddi and Mishra (2005) another form of e-learning through the use of radio, television, and print media has remained popular mode of disseminating distance level education in Pakistan. However, Virtual University (VU), a joint project of government and private sector was established in 2002 (VU, 2011). It is VU that has effectively capitalized upon broadcasting media and Internet to impart education. Later in Year 2003, French Embassy (Pakistan) in collaboration with COMSATS initiated delivery of French Language course online.

The use of technology in Pakistan for distance learning has remained associated to educational sector alone, which is sometimes referred to as “Open Schooling”, however a training journey in the country’s other organizational sectors (public/ private, service/ manufacturing etc) is still to be discovered at length. Even though, e-learning must be present in some form in few of the organizations, a major portion of the industry has still to unfold the hidden treasures.

2.3 IBP- Superior Qualification (ISQ)

Institute of Bankers Pakistan (IBP) was established in 1951 as a not-for-profit entity. The primary objective of the institute is to help financial sector through training and development. Since 1951, IBP has been administering Banking Diploma Program (DAIBP) that has recently been renamed and revised to IBP-Superior Qualification (ISQ). ISQ is fully recognised by State Bank of Pakistan and financial sector including banking sector of Pakistan.

ISQ is divided into three levels i) Junior Associateship of IBP (JAIBP), Associateship of IBP (AIBP) and Fellowship of IBP (FIBP). Successful candidates expect a rise in salary, promotion, cash rewards, increased employability and other recognition. A very high number of bankers register for IBP program. IBP facilitate students through its published material such as it text books, past papers and coaching classes.

However, students face following issues with ISQ Program, despite all the efforts put by IBP.

- The lack of coaching facility to the majority of students as it is only being offered at Head Office as per coaching schedule for summer 2012 available on official website IBP (2012).
- The demanding nature of the job that leaves little energy to study or for self-growth.

The research in given context, aims to investigate the prospects of e-learning based ISQ program, which will
definitely overcome most of the issues pertaining to students that are listed above.

2.4 Theories on Technology Acceptance

A brief summary of the leading theories in the field of technology acceptance are shown in the Table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Name of the Theory</th>
<th>Basic Concept</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ajzen (1985)</td>
<td>Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)</td>
<td>This theory places the intention of humans as the basis for the behavior. Though it is an extension of Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) it differs by adding variable of perceived behavioral control (PBC).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor &amp; Todd (1995)</td>
<td>Decomposed Theory of Planned Behavior (DTPB) – Taylor &amp; Todd’s contribution</td>
<td>It is an extension to TPB by proposing decomposing its constructs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavlou &amp; Fygenson (2006)</td>
<td>Decomposed Theory of Planned Behavior (DTPB) – Pavlou &amp; Fygenson’s contribution</td>
<td>It is also an extension of TPB to predict e-commerce process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis (1986)</td>
<td>Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)</td>
<td>It is an extension of TRA. It explains individuals’ acceptance of information technology with reference to determinants of computer acceptance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triandis (1979)</td>
<td>The Model of PC Utilization (MPCU)</td>
<td>This model in the form of a framework describes behavior occurrence process and the variables that influence the human behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venkatesh et al. (2003)</td>
<td>The Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT)</td>
<td>UTAUT is the synthesis of eight dominant models that have been used to explain the behavior of technology acceptance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Davis (1986) building on the work of Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) established Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). It develops a causal relationships between system design features, perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, attitude toward using, and actual usage behaviour. Figure 2 shows the latest Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) proposed by Davis (1989).

Fig 2: Davis (1989) Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

3. The research model and hypotheses

The study proposes a research model that it attempts to explain and predict the employees’ intention to use e-learning. The model is devised in the light of the TAM model (see Fig 3).

Fig. 3: Research Model
In the light of the research model following hypotheses are postulated:

H1: There exists a positive relationship between Learning Objectives (LO) and the perceived usefulness (PU) of the course.

H2: There exists a positive relationship between Learning Objectives (LO) and the perceived ease of use (PEU) of the course.

H3: Demographic factors have no relationship with perceived usefulness (PU).

H4: Demographic factors have no relationship with perceived ease of use (PEU).

H5: There exists a positive relationship between Perceived ease of use (PEU) and perceived usefulness (PU).

H6: There exists a strong positive relationship between Perceived usefulness (PU) and intention to use e-learning system (IUE).

H7: There exists a strong positive relationship between (PEU) and intention to use e-learning system (IUE).

4. Methodology

4.1 Population and Sample

The total population of the study were all the employees that are registered as student with Institute of Bankers Pakistan for IBP-Superior Qualification (ISQ) course. A convenient random sample (n=172) was used out of all the 41 banks operating in Pakistan.

4.2 Data Collection Method

Online survey software was used to solicit the responses. Emails were sent to the head offices of all the 41 banks. Telephonic calls were made in order to pursue the survey filling out. All the filled in responses were downloaded used for analysis.

4.3 Instrumentation

The online survey software provided the facility to make important questions as mandatory to reply. Hence all the filled in questionnaires (n=172) were valid and good for analysis.

The questionnaire was comprised of a total 19 questions. There were 3 questions on demographic factors such as age, gender and pay scale, 4 questions on Perceived Usefulness (PU), 4 questions on Learning Objectives (LO), 4 questions on Perceived ease of Use (PEU), 4 questions on Intention to Use e-learning (IUE). The respondents were asked to respond on a 5 points Likert Scale with 5 = Strongly Agree and 1 = Strongly Disagree.

4.4 Data Analysis Techniques

In order to check and understand the data at length a descriptive statistics is used. Correlation and Regression analysis are used to understand the underlying relationship between variable. No violation of the assumption was noticed.

4.5 Reliability Measurement

Cronbach Alpha is used to measure the reliability of the instrument (see table.2). The results shows that the instrument is highly reliable with a being 0.832. The alpha values for LO, PEU, PU and IUE are 0.823, 0.782, 0.735 and 0.870 respectively. Alpha values higher than 0.70 is generally considered reliable (Tabachnick and Fidell, 2007), hence we can call this instrument as a reliable.

Table 2: Reliability of the scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cronbach’s Alpha</th>
<th>No of Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning Objectives (LO)</td>
<td>0.823</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Ease of Use (PEU)</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Results

5.1 Descriptive statistics of the sample

The respondents survey profile is given in the Table 3. The majority of the respondents were male (78%) while female were only 22%. A good majority (89%) of the respondents were below the age of 50. However, there the respondents between the age bracket ‘25 – 30’ years and ‘41-50’ were almost equal in size with 26% and 28% representation respectively. On the basis of employment scale/position in hierarchy, 40% of the respondents were on Scale -18, 35% on Scale 16-17 and 18% between the Scale 19-20. Only 3% respondents were below the Scale-16 who were registered with ISQ and have given their responses. Full demographic summary of the respondents is given in table 3.

5.2 Mean and Standard Deviation of the Sample

Mean and Standard Deviation of the variables is presented in table 4. The data indicates that the average scale of the respondents is 2.84 which around pay scale (grade) 18. The respondents have reported a high level of desire to fulfill their personal learning objectives with an average of 4.4895. The respondents also believe that the adoption of e-learning courses would facilitate them with more comfort to use (PEU=4.6090) and would result in enhanced usefulness (PU=4.6140). Overall the respondents have shown a high level of intention to use the e-learning based courses with a mean value of 4.4535. The standard deviation of S, PU, PEU & IUE is low as compared to LO where it is 1.39680.

Table 3: Demographic Summary of respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic Variables</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Position / Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>P (%)</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>77.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>22.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 25 years</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>19.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 30 years</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>26.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 – 40 years</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50 years</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>27.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 50 years</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 16 scale</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>02.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 – 17 scale</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>34.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 scale</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>40.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-20 scale</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 21 scale</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>03.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: F: frequency P: percentage (%)

Table 4: Mean and Standard Deviation of Technology Acceptance Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Variables</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scale (S)</td>
<td>2.8430</td>
<td>0.06667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Objectives (LO)</td>
<td>4.4895</td>
<td>1.39680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Usefulness (PU)</td>
<td>4.6140</td>
<td>0.73749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Ease of Use (PEU)</td>
<td>4.6090</td>
<td>0.69519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention to Use e-learning (IUE)</td>
<td>4.4535</td>
<td>0.73201</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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5.3 Pearson Correlations

The results of the Pearson correlations are presented in table 5. A brief summary of the results of Pearson Correlation is given below:

- There exists no significant relationship between Age (A) and Gender (G).
- There exists a weak negative correlation ($r = -.210$ significant at 0.01) between Gender and Pay Scale (S).
- There exists a weak positive relationship ($r = .198$ significant at 0.01) between Pay Scale (S) and Age (A).
- There exists a weak negative relationship ($r = -.288$ significant at 0.01) between Learning Objective (LO) and Gender (G).
- There exists a weak negative relationship ($r = -.291$ significant at 0.01) between Learning Objective (LO) and Age (A).
- There exists a weak negative relationship ($r = -.296$ significant at 0.01) between Perceived Utility (PU) and Age (A).
- There exists a strong positive relationship ($r = .296$ significant at 0.01) between Perceived Utility (PU) and Learning Objective (LO).
- There exists a weak negative relationship ($r = -.258$ significant at 0.01) between Perceived ease of Use (PEU) and Scale (S).
- There exists a weak positive relationship ($r = .172$ significant at 0.05) between Perceived ease of Use (PEU) and Learning Objectives (LO).
- There exists a weak positive relationship ($r = .222$ significant at 0.01) between Intention to Use e-learning (IUE) and Learning Objectives (LO).

Table 5: Pearson Correlation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>G</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>LO</th>
<th>PU</th>
<th>PEU</th>
<th>IUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (G)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (A)</td>
<td>.080</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale (S)</td>
<td>-.210**</td>
<td>.198**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Objective (LO)</td>
<td>-.288**</td>
<td>-.291**</td>
<td>-.142</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Utility (PU)</td>
<td>-.147</td>
<td>-.296**</td>
<td>-.095</td>
<td>.751**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Ease of Use (PEU)</td>
<td>.038</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>-.258**</td>
<td>.172</td>
<td>.229**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention to Use E-learning (IUE)</td>
<td>.043</td>
<td>.111</td>
<td>-.066</td>
<td>.222**</td>
<td>.216**</td>
<td>.465**</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

- There exists a weak positive relationship ($r = .216$ significant at 0.01) between Intention to Use e-learning (IUE) and Perceived Utility (PU).
- There exists a moderate positive relationship ($r = .465$ significant at 0.01) between Intention to Use e-learning (IUE) and Perceived Ease of Use (PEU).

5.4 Regression Analysis

5.4.1 Perceived Utility (PU)

The regression analysis indicates a 56% variance in Perceived Utility (PU) due to Learning Objectives (LO), Age (A), Gender (G). Where as only 5.2% variance in Perceived Utility (PU) due to Perceived Ease of Use (PEU).

Table 7: Regression Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Adj. R²</th>
<th>T-value</th>
<th>pValue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LO(a), A(a), G(a), S (a) - PU(b)</td>
<td>.564</td>
<td>.554</td>
<td>54.062</td>
<td>.000**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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5.4.2 Perceived Ease of Use (PEU)

The results show that $R^2$ is 0.127 when Perceived Ease of Use (PEU) is regressed by Learning Objectives (LO), Age (A), and Scale (S).

Table 8: Regression Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Adj. R²</th>
<th>T-value</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.127</td>
<td>.106</td>
<td>6.088</td>
<td>.000a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: shows $\beta$ value where $p<0.001$

5.4.3 Intention to Use (IUE)

The results show the $R^2$ value as .229 when IUE is regressed by PU and PEU.

Table 9: Regression Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Adj. R²</th>
<th>T-value</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.229</td>
<td>.220</td>
<td>25.13</td>
<td>.000a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: shows $\beta$ value where $p<0.001$

6. Discussion

The overall results indicate that:

H1: There exists a strong positive relationship between Learning Objectives (LO) and the perceived usefulness (PU) of the course. Hence we will accept H1.

H2: The relationship between Learning Objectives (LO) and the perceived ease of use (PEU) of the course is also positive, again we will accept H2.

H3: Demographic factors have no relationship with perceived usefulness (PU). Again proving that our hypothesis was right, we will accept H3.

H4: The relationship between Demographic factors (G & S) have no significant relationship with perceived ease of use (PEU), whereas Age (A) has a low positive relationship with PEU. Hence we will also accept H4.

H5: There exists a positive relationship between Perceived ease of use (PEU) and perceived usefulness (PU), indicated with $\beta=.229$. Hence we will accept H5.

H6: There exists a positive relationship between Perceived usefulness (PU) and intention to use e-learning system (IUE) indicated with $\beta=.115$. Hence we will accept H6.

H7: There exists a strong positive relationship between (PEU) and intention to use e-learning system (IUE) indicated with $\beta=.439$. Hence we will accept H7.

The graphical representation of $\beta$-values is indicated in figure 4.

7. Conclusions

The results of the study indicate good prospects for introducing e-learning based ISQ courses. The employees consider it a superior with enhanced features of usefulness and ease of use. The learning objectives of the employees have remained the most significant contributor towards their motivation towards acceptance of e-learning based courses.
However, age, gender and position (scale) of the employees have little or no affect on their selection to e-learning courses, except age having a moderate affect on ease of use.

A good majority of the respondents are below the age group 40 and have shown promising inclination towards these e-learning based courses. They are in the start of the career. They consider learning as a tool to growth. Their busy schedule at work is also one the compelling reason for them to adopt e-learning based course.

**Fig 4:** Graphical representations of β-values

* B value is insignificant

8. Implications of the findings

The study unfolds bright prospects of e-learning based courses in Pakistan. It indicates the great urge of the employees towards learning and enhancing their skills while remaining employed. It will help to provide skilled labor not only to financial sectors but also other related sectors. Institute of Bankers Pakistan (IBP) can introduce an e-learning based ISQ course which will help them to increase their customer base, customer awareness and ultimately result into significant financial benefits.

9. Limitations

The current study focuses on the ISQ course offered by the Institute of Bankers Pakistan (IBP), a professional qualification for banking sector. Similar studies may be conducted on other related sectors in order to fully capitalize upon the benefits of e-learning.
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