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Abstract

This paper presents a framework regarding the factors affecting university academic turnover intentions in higher education, derived from an in-depth survey of the related literature. The aim of this study was achieved by identifying factors that affect university academic turnover intentions. The main result of this study is the design a framework, derived from existing literature, of factors affecting university academics’ turnover intentions. The findings help to articulate issues related to university academics’ turnover intentions and in this way contribute to the development of the programs that designed to address the relevant issues.
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1. Introduction

Turnover is very important concept to any organizations and individuals. According to Kim et al. (1996) turnover is the voluntary or involuntary permanent withdrawal to any organization. It refers to an individual's probability where an employee will leave an organization in the near future (Tert and Meyer, 1993). In higher education, employee turnover is higher as human resources with the knowledge and with the competences because these two are the key assets which affects academic and research activities in the organization. The turnover affect very highly because it is very difficult for university to organize substitute new faculty in the middle of the course (Ongori, 2007). Zhou and Volkwein (2004) states that the cost of academic staff turnover includes subsequent recruit expenses, disruptions of the course offerings, discontinuities in the departmental and student planning, and loss of the student graduate advisors, etc. Ahmad and Riaz (2001) argued that high turnover among full-time academic staff basically undermine the institutional goals of providing incentives for the quality education and the intellectual creativity. According to Smart (1990), three sets of determinants that explains turnover intentions for university academics which includes individual characteristics reflects demographic and work factors, and contextual variables reflects individual stature and adjustment of the work environment and finally multiple dimensions of organizational and career satisfaction.

2. Problem Statement

According to Ali (2008), in Pakistan, on average the lecturer’s turnover is more than 60%. Pienaar and Bester (2008) stated that academic turnover intentions have got several disadvantages which includes cost related to decrease the organizational loyalty, loss of knowledge and the experience with regards to the institution, and increase the time and cost in the training novice academic. Sanderson et al. (2000) reported that from 1997 to 1998 in U. S. 7.7% of academic staff left their institutions, of these 29 percent were retirees and the remaining 71% left their jobs for various reasons. They also reported from the 2000 academic staff and concluded that 40% of them are considering to leave their careers (Sanderson et al., 2000), in the case of Australia, the higher education 68% of academic staff wish to leave the higher education (Sanderson et al., 2000). Tettey (2006) argued that South African higher education institutions academics leave between 5% and 18% of their jobs. Similarly, Barnard and Rodgers (1998) also reported in Singapore, South Africa, and Taiwan the leaving rates are 3.4%, 2.9%, and 2.7%.

Cartter (1976) indicated doctorate degree holders are changing their affiliation on an annual basis which declined from 8% (mid of 1960) to 1.4% (in the year 1972). On the other hand, Finkelstein (1984) indicated from the National Science Foundation, the decline rate from 3.5% professors are leaving their jobs in mid-1960s to about 1% in the late
1970s. A report by the World Bank indicated “Retaining Teaching Capacity in African Universities (1995), it was estimated that approximate 23,000 African qualified academic staff are emigrating each year for the better working conditions”.

3. Research Question

What are the important factors that affect university academics turnover intentions?

4. Aims and Objectives

The aim of this study is to develop a conceptual framework regarding the factors affecting university academics turnover intentions. The aim was achieve through accomplishing the following objectives: identify the factors affecting university academics turnover intentions; examine the action and strategies that university academics should take in order to decrease the turnover intentions.

5. Literature Review

A study was conducted by Nwadiani and Akpotu (2002) in Nigeria, using a questionnaire based survey on eight universities, 442 academic staff and 44 former academic staff. Their research results show the average turnover rate is 16.18% and the total 1,476 lecturers left their university job permanently. Their study also indicated that professor got 20.88% turnover rate which considered the highest turnover rate, followed by social sciences lecturers’ got 20.58% and female got 18.99% turnover rate. Kipkebut (2010) conducted a study in Kenyan universities and found employees’ turnover intentions includes role conflict, promotional opportunities, and age is some of the many factors which cause university academic to leave. In Kenya, another study was conducted by Ng’ethe et al. (2012) using a questionnaire based survey on 547 academic staff members from the seven public universities and their results show leadership style inversely and significantly influence on academic staff to leave the organization.

Study conducted by McGee and Ford (1987) found faculty members’ teaching responsibilities negatively affect academic turnover intentions. A research results from Johnsrud and Rosser (2002) indicated demographic variables, perceptions of faculty worklife such as professional workload, administrative relations and support, etc. and interrelated dimensions of morale such as engagement of work, institutional regard etc. subsequently influence academics to leave their job, institution, and career. A study conducted using a questionnaire based survey by Zahra et al. (2013) on academics and their results show that remuneration, supervisory support and the work life have influence for academics in terms of turnover intentions.

A survey was conducted by Conklin and Desselle (2007) on Pharmacy faculty members and their questionnaires were sent via e-mails and the analysis from their results show that 5 reasons which influence academics turnover intentions such as excessive workload, seeking a new challenge, poor salary, and poor relationships with college or school administrators. Study by Al-Omari et al. (2008) on 150 faculty members in 2007/2008 term and their results show structural, psychological, and environmental variables influence directly and indirectly turnover intentions. Weiler (1985) and Matier (1990) conducted studies and reported salary has a significant factor that influence academics turnover intentions.

6. Methodology

The strategy introduced in this paper was to identify the main factors that affect university academics turnover intentions. The identification was drawn from existing literature and the results of this paper were drawn by compiling turnover intentions factors affecting by university academics. A systematic literature review based on the following steps: formulate the review question, devising the search strategy, application of the study selection criteria, study design, and the quality appraisal (Croucher et al., 2003).

6.1 The Review Question

The formulation of the question was identified by a systematic review and the question provides the focus and boundaries, shapes all aspect of review process: inclusion and exclusion criteria, the search strategy, amount of literature reviewed, the quality appraisal, and the synthesis of evidence (Wallace et al., 2005). The review question was guided by the following question: what are the factors affecting university academics turnover intentions?
6.2 The Search Strategy

Google, Google Scholar and the University library electronic databases were searched utilizing the search terms such as: “factors affecting university academics turnover intentions,”; “turnover intentions by university academics.”

6.3 Application of Study Selection Criteria

Before studies entered into systematic review, they were subjected to the two filters such as first filter and the second filter. The first filter – comprised a set of inclusion and exclusion criteria so that only relevant and able to address review question is taken through to the second filter (Wallace et al., 2005).

6.4 Design of the Studies

Studies included empirical evidence from the experimental or observational research which include qualitative research. The study also includes unpublished or published work (Wallace et al., 2005). In this article, only selected those are directly associated with factors affecting university academics turnover intentions.

6.5 The Quality Appraisal Criteria

Studies included met all the five necessity elements of the quality appraisal criteria (Wallace et al., 2005, source: Croucher et al., 2003) for validity and trustworthy findings. Articles were selected which were strongly considerable, acceptable, reliable and the empirically valid. Furthermore, studies considered to each study had the good research questions and theory, model or theoretical framework.

7. Results

The results of this study are displayed in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. A Proposed Framework Regarding Factors Affecting University Academics' Turnover Intentions](image-url)
8. Discussion, Conclusion and Recommendations

The main objective of the study was to examine the factors affecting university academics turnover intentions. This study has revealed all the major factors that affect university academics turnover intentions. According to Vroom (1964), the expectancy theory includes structural, psychological, and environmental variables. However, regardless of what theory is applied issues such as the personal factors, remuneration, time, leadership style, workload, organizational factors, and others (worklife, policies, seeking a new challenge, lack of a sense of community at the institution, age, standard of living, etc.) to be factors that affect university academics turnover intentions.

This study however, recommends that university academics should endeavour to know what factors that affects them to turnover. Furthermore, this study will also help university academics to know which factors are likely to affect their turnover intentions.
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