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Abstract

In the present article we examined the genesis of public opinion in the USA about the death sentence basing on public reaction to the information given on the subject in written and electronic mass media. We placed our close attention on the changes of public opinion in evaluating facts due to ratings of the sources and the influence of certain US mass media. The issues covered in the present article appear not only relevant and valid from politico-social point of view, but are also undoubtedly innovative in two aspects: the global character of presentation of a problem and the specificity of the attained results.
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1. Introduction

The problem of death sentence was traditionally in the center of public interest. The 1787 Constitution of the United States of America and especially its amendment – The Bill of Rights, connected, ideologically and contextually, the most important American democratic freedoms with their coverage in mass media (Christopher S., 2007). Up to the present day the majority of US population relies on mass media’s competence in picking, arranging and presenting information (Frank E. Dardis, Frank R. Baumgartner, Amber E. Boydstun, Suzanna De Boef & Fuyuan Shen, 2006). Large media corporations of last decades (Walt Disney Company, News Corporation, Time Warner, CBS Corporation, Viacom, NBC Universal и Sony Corporation of America) that control 95% of traditional mass media are in fact forming the system of values and opinions of Americans on certain social issues (Edward S. Herman & Noam Chomsky, 1988).

2. Materials and Methods

In the function of the main materials the media publication are used, and their comparative study constituted the subject matter of the present article.

According to cable news channels Nielsen ratings Fox News programs (News corporation) O’Reily factor and Special report with Bret Baier (creator – Sean Hannity) continue in the top ten of the rating. The anchor men of these programs are representatives of the Republican Party who manifest conservative ideas and values of the American society which reflects on their approach to the presentation of news. In particular, we should note a quite tendentious coverage of the death. Republican mass media have always demonstrated more abruptness, intolerance and bias when presenting and commenting on this issue which of course has influenced the viewers. Nevertheless, each one of them has different approach to the interpretation of facts. Thus, Bret Baier has a moderate approach; Sean Hannity, on the opposite, has recently surprised his audience by saying that execution by shooting is a humane alternative to a lethal injection because it excludes improper performance; O’Reily considers himself more of a traditionalist rather than a conservator and does not share Republicans’ view on death penalty, suggesting that it should be replaced with compulsory labour in correctional facilities “such as Gulag” in remote areas (Gabdrafikov, Karabulatova, Khusnutdinova & Vildanov 2015; Ryazantsev, Karabulatova, Sivoplyasova, Pismennaya & Manshin 2015; Ryazantsev, Karabulatova, Ter-Akopov, Pismennaya & Bozhenko, 2015 & Ryazantsev, Karabulatova, Mashin, Pismennaya & Sivoplyasova, 2015), for instance, in Alaska. It is an analogue of the harsh Siberia in the public consciousness (Karabulatova & Zinchenko, 2014 & Karabulatova, Fedorova & Sayfulina, 2014). These remote places away from civilization perceived as a place of power, a place for testing of the spirit (Karabulatova & Sayfulina 2015; Karabulatova, Ermakova & Chiganova, 2014 & Karabulatova& Akhmetova, 2015).
3. Results

The attained results are based on the consideration of a wide range of views on the research which was carried out.

We should also mention the so-called “reverse effect” of the most popular programs and anchor men (Jeremy Harris Lipshultz, 2002). Here is an example. In 2005 when there was a massive scandal in America related to George Tiller who practiced abortions during late pregnancies, following the spirit and letter of traditional Republican values, Bill O’Reilly carried out a large and long-lasting accusatory campaign against him. He even used an old journalist technique and made up a nickname for Tiller calling him Tiller the Baby Killer. When in May 31, 2009 Tiller was killed during a sabbatical in a Lutheran church in Kansas many people thought that it was a direct consequence of O’Reilly’s speeches that have gone too far in demonizing Tiller. At that, many people think that the anchor men intentionally “distort facts in favor of their ideology” and make a murderer new hero for conservators by popularizing his ideas among millions of TV-viewers (Justin Baragona, 2014).

Another Fox News presenter Greta van Susteren has repeatedly brought up the issue of death sentence during her live programs. She has legal education and a vast experience in jurisprudence which gave her the opportunity to use this sensitive topic as a vehicle to make some broader comments on US justice in general. Some of her statements are worth mentioning, not least because she considers herself as an intelligent and honest citizen who does not belong to any party. “I do not think the death penalty can be taken lightly or prescribed as carelessly as it is in America today. The death penalty should be a deterrent, and if it is commonplace, if we can’t even remember the name of the last person executed in this country, we will forget just how serious it is” (Greta Van Susteren & Elaine Lafferty, 2003). Justice does not come easily but at the end of the day this is exactly why, in her opinion, Americans stand out among other nations. That is why we should not be looking for easy ways in democracy and then justice will be our reward. Susteren, of course, has her own opinion about criminals, for instance, those who commit deliberate and cruel acts, and about the punishment they should get for these crimes but, nevertheless, she separates her human emotions and personal opinions from impartial state policy and punishment system, rightfully believing that in some cases the state could’ve sunk to the level of those criminals.

4. Discussion

 Politico-social aspect of the debated subject is reflected in comparison of the positions of different researches of this global problem.

By giving these examples we inevitably face the question: should we consider commercial success of Republican channels a reason or a consequence of the fact that death sentence still exists in American society, has legal reasoning and jurisdiction despite of all the declarations of the triumph of democracy in the country (Joseph Farah, 2007)? And, moreover, is journalism still a “watchdog of democracy” and political processes or are its main sources targeted at maintaining conservative values and reactionary views?

Answering this question we also inevitably address political aspects of the discussion about death sentence in American mass media because, as the authors of the article Rightwing triumph is called off accurately pointed out, “what can be more pleasant for an editor than giving a momentum to a political discussion? Relevance is the gold standard of mass media” (Malenie Amann, Isabel Husen, Ann-Katrin Mueller & others, 2014). So we should not be surprised that the institute of death sentence is being paid so much attention in public policy of the United States: no electoral campaign can pass around this issue, and criticism towards the ruling party always includes criticism towards the approach of this party and some of its members towards the question of death sentence (Scott McCabe & Emily Babay, 2011).

We would like to add that the terrorist attack of September 11, 2001 has caused a massive flow of accusatory information towards public institutes and certain public actors due to the lack of security measures aimed to protect the citizens of America. That persuaded people that existent laws and legal frameworks should be strengthened in order to react more effectively to any acts of violation of public order that result in death of people (Justin Baragona, 2014).

In this situation we can see how the mechanisms, that powerful philosopher, political analytic and public leader Noam Chomsky characterized as mass media manipulation based on the emotions, are working (Noam Chomsky, 1994). These mechanisms do structured behavior modern person (Karabulatova 2013, p. 795). The modern society participants impose on additional installation of rewards and punishments under the influence of various media. On the one hand, the search for the ideal partner, searching for the ideal person (Karabulatova, 2013, p. 793; Khachmafova, Karabulatova, Luchinskaya & Osipov 2015; Ostrovskaya, Karabulatova, Khachmafova, Lyaucheva & Osipov, 2015 & Karabulatova, Khachmafova, Bricheva, Nescherevova & Bersirova, 2015). On the other hand, is self-blame and punishment in violation of accepted norms (Ostrovskaya, Karabulatova, Khachmafova, Lyaucheva & Osipov, 2015;
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